

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,415	11/07/2001	Mitchell D. Eggers	PW 083022 278802	9374
Pillsbury Winth	• • • •	0/2007	EXAM	INER
Intellectual Property Group			HARRELL, ROBERT B	
Suite 1800 101 W. Broadw	ay		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA	92101-8219		2142	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent	under	
	10/005,415	EGGERS, MITCHE	EGGERS, MITCHELL D.	
		Art Unit		
	Robert B. Harrell	2142		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 11/28/06.

The second secon	
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):	er and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cord ☐ The request does not include reasons why ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other:	
The time period for filing a response continues to ruthe mail date of the last Office communication, if no	un from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, who	nterferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been se there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the ed upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
<ul> <li>☑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed:</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to:</li> <li>Claim(s) rejected: 1-60.</li> <li>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:</li> </ul>	e claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	een held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of remains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has be action will be mailed. No further action is required l	en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Robert B. Harrell.	(3) <u>Lynne H Browne</u> Appeal Specialist, TQAS
(2) Andrew Caldwell.	(4) Technology Conter 2100